UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------|
| 10/751,296 | 01/02/2004 | Curtis G. Neason | 066243-0238 (141221) | 2382 |
| 33679 GE MEDICAL | 7590 09/08/200 SYSTEM | 8 | 066243-0238 (141221) 23 EXAMINER CATTUNGAL, SANJAY ART UNIT PAPER N 3768 MAIL DATE DELIVER | INER |
| C/O FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE | | | CATTUNGAL, SANJAY | |
| | UKEE, WI 53202-5306 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3768 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/08/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | 10/751,296 | NEASON, CUR | TIS G. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | SANJAY CATTUNGAL | 3768 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | I | dress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | • | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which pla | aces the |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper repl | y, to the non- |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Tra | ansmission dated |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | a of \$ is due | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | _ |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| (b) I no controlled drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire in | nterest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity ur | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | king court review |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below: | | | |
| Attorney of Record William Kryger stated that no res | sponse has been filed yet. | | |
| /Brian L Casler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737 | /Sanjay Cattungal/ Examiner, Art Unit 3768 | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be | promptly filed to |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)